

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR HEIKE RITTER	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  LEVER600X	CONFIRMATION NO. 6709
09/180,374		04/12/1999			
201	7590	08/05/2002			
UNILEVER PATENT DEPARTMENT 45 RIVER ROAD				EXAMINER	
				PADEN, CAROLYN A	
EDGEWATER, NJ 07020			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1761	28
				DATE MAILED: 08/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI Patent and Trademark Office

ress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 2023 1

				mk	
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	Α'	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EX	EXAMINER	
			tade	tadine	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	28	
			DATE MAILED		

## Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION							
☑ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:							
a) is extended to run or continues to run from t	the date of the final rejection						
b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from							
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the The date on which the response, the petition , and the fee have been filed is the date o purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the f 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for the content of the conten	If the response and also the date for the lee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR						
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).							
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed $\frac{7-\ell-0.2}{2}$ has been considered v to place the application in condition for allowance:	with the following effect, but it is not deemed						
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the	e final rejection stands because:						
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.							
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (Se	ee Note).						
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).							
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materiappeal.	ially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of final	ally rejected claims.						
NOTE:							
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted the non-allowable claims.	d in a separately filed amendment cancelling						
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment \( \bigcup \) will be entered \( \bigcup \) will not be be as follows:	e entered and the status of the claims will						
Claims allowed: nune							
Claims rejected: 1-4, 6-73							
However:							
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):							
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not considered but	- Lacutti Laco Calana						
grand from the security the plate to the	weeker began me they present						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good an presented.</li> </ol>	nd sufficent reasons why it was not earlier						
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.	and a lock-						
Other CAROLYN PADEN 2 2 2 2 2 PRIMARY EXAMINER							
	GROUP 1300 / 7 6 /						

\*11.5 GPO: 1007\_417.381/6270